

## Unitary representations of $CM(3)$

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1994 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 27 4473

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/27/13/022>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.68

The article was downloaded on 01/06/2010 at 21:26

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

## Unitary representations of $CM(3)$

Hirohumi Ogura<sup>†</sup> and David J Rowe<sup>‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup> Instituto de Ciencias Exactas y Terrestres, Universidad Autónoma de Guadalajara, Apdo. Postal 1-440, CP 44100 Guadalajara, Jalisco, Mexico

<sup>‡</sup> Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario M5S 1A7, Canada

Received 8 December 1993

**Abstract.** Irreducible unitary representations of the group  $CM(3)$ , the ‘three-dimensional collective motion group’, which is the semidirect product of a six-dimensional Abelian group  $T_6$  and  $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ , are constructed. A countable basis is identified in the carrier space of each representation. On each  $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$  orbit, elements of the Lie algebra  $cm(3)$  are represented as differential operators. The relationship of the Bohr model and the  $CM(3)$  model is discussed.

### 1. Introduction

The  $CM(3)$  model [1–5] of nuclei is a microscopic formulation of Bohr’s liquid drop model [6, 7] of nuclear collective quadrupole motion. Consider a nucleus consisting of  $A$  nucleons. Let  $x_{in}$  denote the  $i$ th component of the position of the  $n$ th nucleon in the Cartesian coordinate system. Let

$$Q_{ij} = \sum_{n=1}^A x_{in}x_{jn} \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3. \quad (1.1)$$

These quadratic forms are decomposed into the monopole component

$$Q^0 = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^3 Q_{ii} \quad (1.2)$$

and the quadrupole components

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\pm 2}^2 &= \frac{1}{2}(Q_{11} - Q_{22}) \pm iQ_{12} & Q_{\pm 1}^2 &= \mp(Q_{13} \pm iQ_{23}) \\ Q_0^2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(2Q_{33} - Q_{11} - Q_{22}). \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

The Bohr model assumes that a nucleus is enclosed by a surface

$$R = R_0 \left( 1 + \sum_{\mu=-2}^2 \alpha_{\mu} \bar{Y}_{2\mu}(\theta, \phi) \right)$$

and the average  $\langle \rho(n) \rangle$  of the density operator  $\rho(n) = \sum_{n=1}^A \delta(n - n_n)$  is  $3A/(4\pi R_0^3)$  if  $|n| \leq R_0$  and 0 if  $|n| > R_0$ . If the parameters  $\alpha_{\mu}$  are assumed to be small, the averages

of the quadrupole moments are

$$\langle Q_{\mu}^2 \rangle = A \sqrt{\frac{3}{10\pi}} R_0^2 \alpha_{\mu} \quad \mu = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2. \quad (1.4)$$

However, these expressions can be derived apart from the above assumptions. Let  $p_{in}$  be the momentum conjugate to  $x_{in}$  and

$$iS_{jk} = i \sum_{n=1}^A (x_{jn} p_{kn} + x_{kn} p_{jn}) \quad j, k = 1, 2, 3 \quad j \neq k \quad (1.5a)$$

$$iS_j = i \sum_{n=1}^A x_{jn} p_{jn} \quad j = 1, 2, 3 \quad (1.5b)$$

$$iL_k = i \sum_{n=1}^A (x_{ln} p_{mn} - x_{mn} p_{ln}) \quad (k, l, m \text{ is a cyclic permutation of } 1, 2, 3). \quad (1.5c)$$

Suppose that  $|0\rangle$  denotes the state of a nucleus of angular momentum 0. Although  $\langle 0|Q_{\mu}^2|0\rangle = 0$ , the averages of quadrupole moments in the state

$$|\Phi\rangle = \exp(-i \sum_{k=1}^3 \xi_k S_k) |0\rangle$$

$\xi_k \in \mathbb{R}$ , are not 0. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi | Q_0^2 | \Phi \rangle &= q_0(\xi_i) \langle 0 | Q^0 | 0 \rangle & \langle \Phi | Q_{\pm 2}^2 | \Phi \rangle &= \frac{q_2(\xi_i)}{\sqrt{2}} \langle 0 | Q^0 | 0 \rangle \\ \langle \Phi | Q_{\pm 1}^2 | \Phi \rangle &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

where

$$q_0(\xi_i) = \frac{(2e^{2\xi_3} - e^{2\xi_1} - e^{2\xi_2})}{\sqrt{6}} \quad q_2(\xi_i) = \frac{(e^{2\xi_1} - e^{2\xi_2})}{\sqrt{2}}. \quad (1.7)$$

These expressions are derived from the commutation relations

$$\left[ i \sum_{k=1}^3 \xi_k S_k, Q_{jl} \right] = (\xi_j + \xi_l) Q_{jl}$$

and the formula

$$e^Y X e^{-Y} = X + [Y, X] + \frac{1}{2!} [Y, [Y, X]] + \dots \quad (1.8)$$

If we evaluate the mean values of the quadrupole moments in the rotated state  $|\Psi\rangle = R(\phi, \theta, \psi)|\Phi\rangle$ , where  $R(\phi, \theta, \psi) = \exp(-i\phi L_3) \exp(-i\theta L_2) \exp(-i\psi L_3)$ , from equation (1.6) and  $R^{-1} Q_{\mu}^2 R = \sum_{\nu=-2}^2 D_{\mu\nu}^2(\phi, \theta, \psi) Q_{\nu}^2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Psi | Q_{\mu}^2 | \Psi \rangle &= \langle 0 | Q^0 | 0 \rangle \left[ D_{\mu,0}^2(\phi, \theta, \psi) q_0(\xi_i) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (D_{\mu 2}^2(\phi, \theta, \psi) + D_{\mu, -2}^2(\phi, \theta, \psi)) q_2(\xi_i) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

(We adopt Bohr's  $D$ -function  $D_{\mu\nu}^J(\phi, \theta, \psi) = e^{i\mu\phi} d_{\mu\nu}^J(\theta) e^{i\nu\psi}$  throughout this paper.)

Expression (1.9) accords with expression (1.4) in the limit of small deformation. We may define the radius  $R_0$  of the nuclei by  $\langle 0|Q^0|0\rangle = R_0^2 A/5$ . This is because, if the density of the nuclei is constant, the formula holds. Let  $\beta_B = \sqrt{\sum_{\mu=-2}^2 \alpha_{\mu} \bar{\alpha}_{\mu}}$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$  be a parameter such that  $\sqrt{2/3}\beta = \sqrt{5/4\pi}\beta_B$ . If we set

$$\xi = \sum_{k=1}^3 \xi_k \quad \xi_k = \left( \xi_k - \frac{\xi}{3} \right) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \beta \cos \left( \gamma - \frac{2\pi}{3} k \right) \quad k = 1, 2, 3$$

and assume that  $\beta$  is small, we have

$$q_0(\xi_i) \approx 2 e^{2\xi/3} \beta \cos \gamma \quad q_2(\xi_i) \approx 2 e^{2\xi/3} \beta \sin \gamma. \quad (1.10)$$

If we furthermore impose the condition of volume conservation  $\xi = 0$ , the right side of equation (1.9) becomes the product of  $AR_0^2 \sqrt{3/10\pi}$  and the well known expressions [6]

$$\alpha_{\mu} = \beta_B \left[ D_{\mu,0}^2(\phi, \theta, \psi) \cos \gamma + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (D_{\mu,2}^2(\phi, \theta, \psi) + D_{\mu,-2}^2(\phi, \theta, \psi)) \sin \gamma \right] \quad (1.11)$$

$$\mu = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2$$

of the deformation parameters  $\alpha_{\mu}$ . Thus we may regard  $|\Psi\rangle$  as a deformed state in the sense of quantum mechanics.

However, even if the deformed states are identified, we do not know how the states vary as time passes. In the Bohr model, equations of motions for the parameters  $\alpha_{\mu}$  are established by assuming that a nuclei is a liquid drop whose motion is governed by classical fluid dynamics. In the  $CM(3)$  model, we must look for a Hamiltonian which is an element of the universal enveloping algebra [8] generated by the operators  $Q_{ij}, S_{ij}, S_k$  and  $L_k$ . If we consider the correspondence of the parameters  $\alpha_{\mu}$  and the expectation values  $\langle \Psi | Q_{\mu}^2 | \Psi \rangle$ , it is plausible to choose a Hamiltonian which accords with the Hamiltonian of the Bohr model in the limit of small deformation. Once a representation and Hamiltonian are chosen, the remaining problem is to identify a basis in the carrier space of the representation so that it becomes possible to diagonalize the Hamiltonian. It is the purpose of this article to construct irreducible representations of  $CM(3)$  and identify bases for the carrier spaces.

The operators  $Q_{ij}, iS_{jk}, iS_k$  and  $iL_k$  form a real Lie algebra with respect to the commutation relation induced from the canonical commutation relations  $[x_{jm}, p_{kn}] = i\delta_{jk}\delta_{mn}$ . Let  $\text{span}\{X_i\}$  denote the vector space generated by some vectors  $X_i$ . The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{c} = \text{span}\{Q_{ij}, iS_{jk}, iS_k, iL_k\}$  is the semidirect sum [9] of the commutative ideal  $\mathfrak{t}_6 = \text{span}\{Q_{ij}\}$  and the subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{span}\{iS_{jk}, iS_k, iL_k\}$ . Denote  $\frac{1}{3} \sum_{k=1}^3 S_k$  by  $S^0$  and  $S_k - S^0$  by  $S_k^2$ , respectively. Let  $\mathfrak{sg} = \text{span}\{iS_{jk}, iS_k^2, iL_k\}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{sg}$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sg} \oplus \text{span}\{iS^0\}$ , where  $iS^0$  commutes with any element of  $\mathfrak{sg}$ . Since  $\sum_{k=1}^3 \xi_k S_k = (\sum_{k=1}^3 \xi_k) S^0 + \sum_{k=1}^3 \xi_k S_k^2$ , removing  $S^0$  from  $\mathfrak{g}$  and imposing the condition of volume conservation are equivalent. Here, we shall adopt the volume conservation condition and consider representations of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{cm}(3) = \mathfrak{t}_6 \oplus \mathfrak{sg}$ . By doing so, we can suppress scaling factors which make formulae onerous, do not lose any essential content of the theory and, furthermore, incorporating later volume variation by  $S^0$  does not cause any difficulty.

## 2. Group $CM(3)$

In this section, we shall construct a topological group  $CM(3)$  of the algebra  $\mathfrak{cm}(3)$  from the following: (i) as is seen from the form of the wavefunction  $|\Psi\rangle$ , the deformation

is generated by exponential functions of the elements of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ; (ii) the representations of the Lie algebra are obtained from those of the group by differentiation. In general, a group generated by an algebra is more connotative. We need some additional assumptions to make a group of an algebra.

Instead of defining the domain and the range of the elements of  $cm(3)$  in a Hilbert space, we shall proceed formally. For  $\kappa = (\kappa_{11}, \kappa_{12}, \kappa_{13}, \kappa_{22}, \kappa_{23}, \kappa_{33}) \in \mathbb{R}^6$ , let  $U_\kappa = \exp(i \sum_{i \leq j} \kappa_{ij} Q_{ij})$ , where the exponential is defined by the formal power series whose first term is the 'identity'  $I$ . Since  $Q_{ij}$ s commute mutually,  $U_\kappa U_{\kappa'} = U_{\kappa + \kappa'}$  for  $\kappa, \kappa' \in \mathbb{R}^6$ . Let  $\mathbf{0} = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ . As  $U_0 U_\kappa = U_\kappa U_0 = U_\kappa$ ,  $U_0 = I$ . From  $U_\kappa U_{-\kappa} = I$ , we have  $U_\kappa^{-1} = U_{-\kappa}$ . If we define an  $\varepsilon$ -neighbourhood of  $U_\kappa$  by  $B(U_\kappa, \varepsilon) = \{U_{\kappa'} | \sqrt{\sum_{i \leq j} (\kappa_{ij} - \kappa'_{ij})^2} < \varepsilon\}$ , then the collection  $T_\varepsilon = \{U_\kappa | \kappa \in \mathbb{R}^6\}$  becomes a six-dimensional Abelian group which is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^6$ .

Next we shall make a group of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sg}$  and make it homoeomorphic to  $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ . Let  $X_{jk} = i \sum_{n=1}^3 x_{jn} p_{kn}$ ,  $i, k = 1, 2, 3$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{span}\{X_{ij} | i, j = 1, 2, 3\}$ . Let  $E_{ij}$  denote the matrix unit of  $3 \times 3$  matrices whose  $(i, j)$  component is 1 and the other components are 0. A linear map  $h: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{R})$  defined by  $h(X_{ij}) = -E_{ij}$  is an isomorphism, and so is the restriction  $h|_{\mathfrak{sg}}: \mathfrak{sg} \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})$ .

The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})$  generates the group  $SL(3, \mathbb{R}) = \{g \in \mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{R}) | \det g = 1\}$ . However, the isomorphism of  $\mathfrak{sg}$  and  $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})$  does not *a priori* imply the homeomorphism of  $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$  and a group generated by  $\mathfrak{sg}$ . Let  $\|\varepsilon\|$  denote the Euclidean norm  $\sqrt{\text{Tr}(\varepsilon \varepsilon^T)}$  of  $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{R})$ . Usually  $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})$  and  $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$  are given the subspace topology of  $\mathbb{R}^9$  induced by the Euclidean metric  $d(\alpha, \beta) = \|\alpha - \beta\|$ , where  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})$  or  $\alpha, \beta \in SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ . In that topology,  $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}^8$ , where ' $\cong$ ' means 'be homeomorphic to'.

If  $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})$ , the exponential series  $\exp(\varepsilon) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \varepsilon^n/n!$  belongs to  $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ . Let  $\mathbf{0}$  and  $I$  denote zero and the identity of  $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})$  and  $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ , respectively. Let  $B(\mathbf{0}, \log 2) = \{\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R}) | \|\varepsilon\| < \log 2\}$  and  $W = \{\exp \varepsilon | \varepsilon \in B(\mathbf{0}, \log 2)\}$ . Then  $W$  is a neighbourhood of  $I \in SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ . On  $W$ , the logarithmic series  $\log g = \sum_{n=1}^\infty (I - g)^n/n$  absolutely converges, and it holds that [10]  $\exp(\log g) = g$ . Since  $\exp B(\mathbf{0}, \log 2)$  and its inverse  $\log|_W$  are continuous,  $B(\mathbf{0}, \log 2)$  is homeomorphic to  $W$ .

As  $W^{-1} = \{w^{-1} | w \in W\} = W$ , any  $g \in SL(3, \mathbb{R})$  can be represented a product of finitely many elements [11] of  $W$ , that is,  $g = g_1 \dots g_n$  for some non-negative integer  $n$  and some  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in W$ . If  $g_i \in W$ ,  $\varepsilon_i = \log g_i$  belongs to  $B(\mathbf{0}, \log 2)$  and therefore

$$g = \exp(\varepsilon_1) \dots \exp(\varepsilon_n) \tag{2.1}$$

for some  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \in B(\mathbf{0}, \log 2)$ .

For  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})$  let

$$U_\varepsilon = \exp\left(-\sum_{i,j=1}^3 \varepsilon_{ij} X_{ji}\right)$$

be a formal power series. Then  $U_0 = I$  and  $U_\varepsilon^{-1} = U_{-\varepsilon}$ . Let

$$W_{\mathfrak{sg}}^n = \{U_{\varepsilon_1} \dots U_{\varepsilon_n} | \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \in \mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})\}.$$

Then  $SG = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty W_{\mathfrak{sg}}^n$  becomes a group.

From the commutation relation

$$\left[ \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \varepsilon_{ij} X_{ji}, X_{kn} \right] = \sum_{i=1}^3 \varepsilon_{kj} X_{jn} \quad i=1, 2, 3 \quad n=1, 2, \dots, A$$

and formula (1.8), we have

$$U_\varepsilon X_{in} U_\varepsilon^{-1} = \sum_{j=1}^3 [\exp(-\varepsilon^T)]_{ji} X_{jn} \quad i=1, 2, 3 \quad n=1, 2, \dots, A. \tag{2.2}$$

Let  $\phi$  denote this map  $U_\varepsilon \rightarrow \exp(-\varepsilon^T)$ . Then the map  $\phi: SG \rightarrow SL(3, \mathbb{R})$  satisfies  $\phi(U_\varepsilon U_{\varepsilon'}) = \phi(U_\varepsilon)\phi(U_{\varepsilon'})$ . Also it is surjective. The reason for this is, given  $g \in SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $g = \exp(\varepsilon_1) \dots \exp(\varepsilon_n)$  for some  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \in B(0, \log 2)$  and  $\phi(U_{-\varepsilon_1^T} \dots U_{-\varepsilon_n^T}) = g$ .

Let  $\text{Ker } \phi$  denote the kernel of  $\phi$ . The kernel is not a one-point set. For example, elements  $\exp(2m\pi iL_k)$ , where  $k=1, 2, 3$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , belong to  $\text{Ker } \phi$ . By the homomorphism theorem of group theory,  $SG/\text{Ker } \phi$  is isomorphic to  $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ . Let  $\psi$  denote this isomorphism. If we define  $\psi^{-1}(V)$  is open in  $SG/\text{Ker } \phi$  if and only if  $V$  is open in  $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ , then  $SG/\text{Ker } \phi$  becomes homeomorphic to  $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ . We hereafter denote  $SG/\text{Ker } \phi$  by  $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ .

For  $g = \exp(\varepsilon_1) \dots \exp(\varepsilon_n)$ , denote the residue class of  $U_{-\varepsilon_1^T} \dots U_{-\varepsilon_n^T} \in SG$  by  $U_g$ . Also denote  $(g^T)^{-1} = (g^{-1})^T$  and its  $(i, j)$  component by  $g^*$  and  $g_{ij}^*$ , respectively. Then by equation (2.2) and the definition (1.1) of  $Q_{ij}$ , for  $a \in SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ ,

$$Q'_{ij} = U_a Q_{ij} U_a^{-1} = \sum_{k,l=1}^3 a_{ki}^* a_{lj}^* Q_{kl} = \sum_{k \leq l} A_{kl,ij}(a) Q_{kl} \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$A_{kl,ij}(a) = a_{ki}^* a_{lj}^* + a_{li}^* a_{kj}^* \quad \text{if } k < l$$

$$A_{kk,ij} = a_{ki}^* a_{kj}^*.$$

By straightforward calculation, the determinant of the  $6 \times 6$  matrix  $(A_{kl,ij}(a))$  is shown to be  $(\det a)^{-4}$ , which is 1 for  $\det a = 1$ . If we arrange  $Q_{ij}$ s in the form of a  $3 \times 3$  symmetric matrix,

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{11} & Q_{12} & Q_{13} \\ Q_{12} & Q_{22} & Q_{23} \\ Q_{13} & Q_{23} & Q_{33} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.4}$$

and denote  $a^{-1}Q(a^{-1})^T$  by  $a^{-1} \cdot Q$  then equation (2.3) can be written as

$$Q' = U_a Q U_a^{-1} = a^{-1} \cdot Q. \tag{2.5}$$

Now, the collection  $CM(3) = \{U_\kappa U_a \mid U_\kappa \in T_6, U_a \in SL(3, \mathbb{R})\}$  is shown to be the semi-direct product group  $T_6 \rtimes_{\text{sd}} SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ . In fact,  $I = U_0 U_1$  is the identity. For  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^6$  and  $a \in SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ , let  $a \cdot \kappa$  be defined by  $\sum_{k \leq l} A_{ij,kl}(a) \kappa_{kl}$ . Then  $U_a U_\kappa U_a^{-1} = U_{a \cdot \kappa} \in T_6$ . Therefore  $U_a^{-1} U_\kappa^{-1} = U_{-a^{-1} \cdot \kappa} U_a^{-1} \in CM(3)$  is the inverse of  $U_\kappa U_a$  and  $U_\kappa U_a U_\kappa^{-1} U_a^{-1} = U_\kappa (U_a U_\kappa^{-1} U_a^{-1}) U_a U_a^{-1} = U_{\kappa + a \cdot \kappa} U_{aa^{-1}} \in CM(3)$ .

Since  $\det(A_{ij,kl}(a))$  is not 0, the linear map  $\kappa_{ij} \rightarrow \sum_{k \leq l} A_{ij,kl}(a)\kappa_{kl}$  is an automorphism of  $\mathbb{R}^6 = \{(\kappa_{11}, \dots, \kappa_{33})\}$ . Thus  $CM(3)$ , a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^6 \times \mathbb{R}^9$ , is a semidirect product [12] of  $T_6$  and  $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ .

**3.  $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$  orbits in  $\mathbb{R}^6$  and the isotropy subgroups**

Since  $T_6$  is Abelian, its irreducible representations are one dimensional. For  $U_\kappa \in T_6$ , the map  $x_Q: U_\kappa \rightarrow \exp(i \sum_{i \leq j} \kappa_{ij} Q'_{ij})$  is the representation labelled by  $Q' = (Q'_{11}, Q'_{12}, \dots, Q'_{33}) \in \mathbb{R}^6$ . As usual, we give  $\mathbb{R}^6$  the standard topology. In the bra-ket formalism [13], if we denote by  $|Q'\rangle = |Q'_{11}, Q'_{12}, \dots, Q'_{33}\rangle$  the simultaneous eigenstate of  $Q_{ij}$ s, then

$$U_\kappa |Q'\rangle = x_Q(U_\kappa) |Q'\rangle \tag{3.1}$$

and  $\{|Q'\rangle\}$  is the one-dimensional carrier space. If we operate with equation (2.3) on  $|Q'\rangle$ , then

$$U_a Q_{ij} U_a^{-1} |Q'\rangle = Q''_{ij} |Q'\rangle \quad Q''_{ij} = \sum_{k \leq l} A_{kl,ij}(a) Q'_{kl} = (a^{-1} \cdot Q')_{ij} \tag{3.2}$$

where  $Q'$  denotes the matrix of the form of equation (2.4) whose components are real numbers. Since  $Q_{ij}$ s are  $c$ -numbers,

$$Q_{ij} U_a^{-1} |Q'\rangle = Q''_{ij} U_a^{-1} |Q'\rangle \tag{3.3}$$

which implies that  $U_a^{-1} |Q'\rangle$  is the eigenstate of the quadrupole moments  $Q_{ij}$  belonging to the eigenvalues  $Q''_{ij}$ . That is,

$$U_a^{-1} |Q'\rangle = c |Q''\rangle \quad Q'' = a^{-1} \cdot Q' \tag{3.4}$$

where  $c$  is a function of  $a \in SL(3, \mathbb{R})$  and  $Q'$ , which will be suitably chosen in section 6. Equation (3.4) shows that  $U_a \in SL(3, \mathbb{R})$  connects carrier spaces of the representations of  $T_6$ . However, not arbitrary  $|Q'\rangle$  and  $|Q''\rangle$  are connected by some  $U_a$ .

If we define a relation by

$$Q'' \sim Q' \quad \text{if } Q'' = a Q' a^T = a \cdot Q' \quad \text{for some } a \in SL(3, \mathbb{R})$$

this relation is an equivalence relation. On each equivalence class, called an orbit,  $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$  acts transitively. We shall list below all of these orbits. We hereafter denote the eigenvalues of the quadrupole moments by  $Q_{ij}$ , so far as no confusion arises. If  $\det Q \neq \det Q'$  then  $Q$  and  $Q'$  belong to different orbits. Let

$$\Lambda = \{\text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \mid \lambda_i > 0, \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 = 1\} \subset SL(3, \mathbb{R}).$$

Since  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^6$  is a real symmetric matrix, there exist  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $r \in SO(3)$  such that  $(r\lambda)^T Q r \lambda$  is equal to one of the following matrices:

$$\pm l \text{diag}(1, 1, 1) \quad \pm l \text{diag}(-1, -1, 1) \tag{3.5}$$

where  $l = |\det Q|^{1/3} \neq 0$ ,

$$\pm \text{diag}(1, 1, 0) \quad \text{diag}(1, -1, 0) \quad \pm \text{diag}(0, 0, 1) \quad \mathbf{0} = \text{diag}(0, 0, 0). \tag{3.6}$$

That is, any  $Q$  is equivalent to one of the above matrices. Furthermore, by Sylvester's law of inertia [14], matrices given in equations (3.5) and (3.6) are non-equivalent,

because they have different signatures. We shall call these matrices the origins of the orbits.

Thus, there are the following  $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$  orbits:

$$S_1^\pm(l) = \{Q = \pm lr\lambda^2 r^T | r \in SO(3), \lambda \in \Lambda\} \quad l > 0$$

$$S_2^\pm(l) = \{Q = \pm lr\lambda^2 \text{diag}(-1, -1, 1)r^T | r \in SO(3), \lambda \in \Lambda\} \quad l > 0$$

$$S_3^\pm = \{Q = \pm r\lambda^2 \text{diag}(1, 1, 0)r^T | r \in SO(3), \lambda \in \Lambda\}$$

$$S_4 = \{Q = \pm r\lambda^2 \text{diag}(1, -1, 0)r^T | r \in SO(3), \lambda \in \Lambda\}$$

$$S_5^\pm = \{Q = \pm r\lambda^2 \text{diag}(0, 0, 1)r^T | r \in SO(3), \lambda \in \Lambda\}$$

$$S_6 = \{0\}.$$

It is natural to give all of these orbits the subspace topology of  $\mathbb{R}^6$ . Although  $\mathbb{R}^6$  is the union of all of these orbits, since the inversion  $Q \rightarrow -Q$  and the scale transformation  $Q \rightarrow lQ (l > 0)$  are diffeomorphisms, there are only six orbits,  $S_1^+ = S_1^+(1)$ ,  $S_2^+ = S_2^+(1)$ ,  $S_3^+$ ,  $S_4$ ,  $S_5^+$  and  $S_6$ , which may be mutually not homeomorphic. In fact, since the dimensions of  $S_6$  and  $S_5^+$  are 0 and 3, respectively, they are not mutually homeomorphic nor homeomorphic with the other orbits whose dimensions are 5.

Let  $O$  denote the origin of an  $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$  orbit. The isotropy subgroup of  $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$  with respect to the origin  $O$  is found by solving the equation  $O = aOa^T$ ,  $a \in SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ . We denote the isotropy subgroups of  $S_1^+$ ,  $S_2^+$ ,  $S_3^+$ ,  $S_4$ ,  $S_5^+$  and  $S_6$  by  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$ ,  $K_3$ ,  $K_4$ ,  $K_5$  and  $K_6$ , respectively. Obviously  $K_6 = SL(3, \mathbb{R})$  and  $K_1 = SO(3)$ . Let  $C_x = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1)$ , which is the  $\pi$ -rotation about the  $x$ -axis. The remaining isotropy subgroups are listed below:

$$K_2 = SO(2, 1) = SO(2, 1)_0 \cup C_x SO(2, 1)_0$$

where  $SO(2, 1)_0$  is the three-dimensional proper Lorentz group which is a normal subgroup of  $SO(2, 1)$ .

$$K_3 = M(2) \cup C_x M(2)$$

where

$$M(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & a \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| a, b, \theta \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

is the two-dimensional Euclidean motion group [15], and is a normal subgroup of  $K_3$ .

$$K_4 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \tau & \sinh \tau & a \\ \sinh \tau & \cosh \tau & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| a, b, \tau \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

which is denoted by  $MH(2)$  in [15].

$$K_5 = SA(2) \cup C_x SA(2)$$



where

$$SA(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 \\ c & d & 0 \\ x & y & 1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| x, y, a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, ad - bc = 1 \right\}$$

which is a normal subgroup of  $K_5$ . It will be appropriate to call  $SA(2)$  the ‘special two-dimensional affine motion group’ because it is a semidirect product  $T_2 \times_{sd} SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  of

$$SL(2, \mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 \\ c & d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, ad - bc = 1 \right\}$$

and an Abelian group

$$T_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ x & y & 1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| x, y \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Unitary representations of  $SO(2.1)_0$ ,  $M(2)$ ,  $MH(2)$  are known [15], and those of  $SA(2)$  can be obtained by the method of induced representation explained in section 6. Representations of  $K_2, K_3, K_4$  and  $K_5$  are obtained by the method of induced representation given in [16]. Representations of  $K_6 = SL(3, \mathbb{R})$  are given in [17].

#### 4. Fundamental Groups of $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ orbits

In order to discriminate the structures of the  $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$  orbits, we consider their connectivity. It is known that  $S_1^+$  is homeomorphic [18] to  $\mathbb{R}^5 = \{X \in gl(3, \mathbb{R}) | X = X^T, \text{Tr } X = 0\}$ . That is,  $S_1^+$  is simply connected. Let  $S$  and  $O$  be one of the  $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$  orbits and its origin. If  $Q \in S$ , then  $Q = r\lambda^2 Or^T$ , where  $\lambda = (e^{\xi_1}, e^{\xi_2}, e^{\xi_3})$ ,  $\xi_i \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 = 0$ . For  $t \in [0, 1]$ , let  $\lambda(t) = (e^{t\xi_1}, e^{t\xi_2}, e^{t\xi_3})$  and  $Q(t) = r\lambda(t)^2 Or^T$ . Then  $Q(t), t \in [0, 1]$  is a strong deformation retraction [19] of  $S$  and  $M = \{Q = rOr^T | r \in SO(3)\}$ . The fundamental groups of  $S$  and  $M$  are isomorphic [19].

First we take up  $S_5^+$ . Let

$$h(\phi) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & -\sin \phi & 0 \\ \sin \phi & \cos \phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad g(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & 0 & \sin \theta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin \theta & 0 & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then any  $r \in SO(3)$  is represented by the product  $h(\phi)g(\theta)h(\psi)$ , where  $\phi, \psi \in \mathbb{R} \text{ mod } 2\pi$  and  $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ . The strong deformation retract of  $S_5^+$  is  $M_5 = \{r \text{diag}(0, 0, 1)r^T | r \in SO(3)\}$ . If  $Q \in M_5$ , then  $Q = h(\phi)g(\theta) \text{diag}(0, 0, 1)g^T(\theta)h^T(\phi)$ . Let  $x_1 = \sin \theta \cos \phi, x_2 = \sin \theta \sin \phi, x_3 = \cos \theta$ . Then the  $(i, j)$  component  $q_{ij}$  of  $Q$  is  $x_i x_j$ . Therefore,  $M_5$  is the image set of the map  $f: S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^6$  defined by  $f(x_1, x_2, x_3)_i = x_i x_j$ . According as  $q_{11} \neq 0, q_{22} \neq 0$  and  $q_{33} \neq 0$ , we can take  $(q_{12}/q_{11}, q_{13}/q_{11}), (q_{12}/q_{22}, q_{23}/q_{22})$  and  $(q_{13}/q_{33}, q_{23}/q_{33})$  as local coordinates of  $M_5$ . Coordinate transformation functions are infinitely many times continuously differentiable.

By the map  $f$ , points  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  and  $(-x_1, -x_2, -x_3)$  of  $S^2$  are mapped to the same point of  $M_5$ . Conversely,  $f^{-1}(Q)$  consist of two points. For example, suppose that

$q_{33} \neq 0$  and let  $u_1 = q_{13}/q_{33}$  and  $u_2 = q_{23}/q_{33}$ . Then

$$f^{-1}(Q) = \left\{ \pm \left( \frac{u_1}{\sqrt{u_1^2 + u_2^2 + 1}}, \frac{u_2}{\sqrt{u_1^2 + u_2^2 + 1}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{u_1^2 + u_2^2 + 1}} \right) \right\}. \tag{4.1}$$

Thus,  $M_5$  is a set consisting of the pairs of antipodal points of  $S^2$ . However, in order to infer that  $M_5$  is the two-dimensional projective space  $P^2$ , we need to show that the quotient topology [20] of  $M_5$  induced by  $f$  is the same as the given topology. It suffices to show that  $f: S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^6$  is an open map. Let  $V$  be a subset of  $M_5$  such that  $U = f^{-1}(V)$  is open. Let  $Q \in V$  and  $x \in f^{-1}(Q)$ . Since  $U$  is open, there exist a neighbourhood  $E \subset U$  of  $x$ . As is seen from equation (4.1), if  $E$  is chosen sufficiently small then  $x \in E, -x \notin E$ , the restriction  $f|E: E \rightarrow f(E)$  is bijective and  $(f|E)^{-1}$  is continuous.

Therefore,  $((f|E)^{-1})^{-1}(E) = f(E)$  is open. Then  $f(E) \subset V$  is a neighbourhood of  $Q \in V$ . Thus  $V$  is open. The fundamental group of  $P^2$  is the two-point group. Thus,  $M_5$  and therefore  $S_5^+$  are doubly connected.

Strong deformation retracts of  $S_2^+$  and  $S_3^+$  are  $M_2 = \{r \text{diag}(-1, -1, 1)r^T | r \in SO(3)\}$  and  $M_3 = \{r \text{diag}(1, 1, 0)r^T | r \in SO(3)\}$ , respectively. Because  $\text{diag}(-1, -1, 1) = -I + 2 \text{diag}(0, 0, 1)$  and  $\text{diag}(1, 1, 0) = I - \text{diag}(0, 0, 1)$ ,  $M_2$  and  $M_3$  are homeomorphic to  $P^2$ . Thus, all of  $S_2^+, S_3^+$  and  $S_5^+$  are doubly connected.

The structure of  $S_4$  is more complicated. Let  $f: SO(3) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^6$  be the map defined by  $f(r) = r \text{diag}(1, -1, 0)r^T$ . Then  $M_4 = f(SO(3))$ . Let  $D_2 = \{I, C_x, C_y, C_z\}$  be the dihedral group consisting of the identity and  $\pi$ -rotations about the  $x, y, z$  axes. If  $C \in D_2$  then  $f(rC) = f(r)$ . Conversely, if  $f(rC) = f(r)$  for  $C \in SO(3)$  then  $C \in D_2$ . This is shown by solving the equation  $C \text{diag}(1, -1, 0)C^T = \text{diag}(1, -1, 0), C \in SO(3)$ . Thus,  $M_4$  is the quotient set  $SO(3)/D_2$ . First we shall show that  $M_4$  is a three-dimensional manifold embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^6$ . Let  $\Delta_{ij}$  denote the  $(i, j)$  cofactor of  $\det Q$ . Since the eigenvalues of  $Q \in M_4$  are  $1, -1, 0$ ,

$$h_1(Q) = \text{Tr } Q = 0 \quad h_2(Q) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \Delta_{ii} + 1 = 0 \quad h_3(Q) = \det Q = 0.$$

If we define  $h: \mathbb{R}^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  by  $h(Q) = (h_1(Q), h_2(Q), h_3(Q))$ , then  $M_4 = h^{-1}(0)$ . The derivative of  $h$  at  $Q$  is

$$dh_Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ -q_{11} & -2q_{12} & -2q_{13} & -q_{22} & -2q_{23} & -q_{33} \\ \Delta_{11} & 2\Delta_{12} & 2\Delta_{13} & \Delta_{22} & 2\Delta_{23} & \Delta_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $h_1, h_2, h_3$  and  $q_{11}, q_{22}, \dots, q_{33}$  are arranged vertically and horizontally, respectively. By elementary linear algebra, the rank of  $dh_Q$  is shown to be 3. Therefore,  $M_4$  is a three-dimensional subspace [21] of  $\mathbb{R}^6$ , and three of the  $q_{11}, q_{22}, \dots, q_{33}$  serve as local coordinates.

Although we do not write down the lengthy algebraic expressions of  $f^{-1}(Q)$ , it is shown that if  $r \in f^{-1}(Q)$ , then  $f^{-1}(Q) = \{r, rC_x, rC_y, rC_z\}$ . In the same way as above,  $f$  can be shown to be an open map, and consequently  $SO(3)/D_2$  in the quotient topology is homeomorphic to  $M_4$ .

Let  $\text{Ad}: SU(2) \rightarrow SO(3)$  be the adjoint representation [22] of  $SU(2)$ . Let  $p = f \circ \text{Ad}$ . Then  $p: SU(2) \rightarrow M_4$  is shown to be an open and covering map [23]. Let  $\tilde{D}_2 = \text{Ad}^{-1}(D_2)$ , then

$$\tilde{D}_2 = \left\{ \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \pm \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Since  $M_4 \cong SO(3)/D_2 \cong SU(2)/\tilde{D}_2$ , and  $SU(2)$  is simply connected, the fundamental group of  $M_4$  is isomorphic [24]† to  $\tilde{D}_2$ . Thus  $M_4$ , and therefore  $S_4$ , is an eight-fold connected space. In conclusion, except for the possibility that  $S_2^+$  and  $S_3^+$  are homeomorphic, all orbits  $S_1^+$ ,  $S_2^+$ ,  $S_3^+$ ,  $S_4$ ,  $S_5^+$  are not mutually homeomorphic.

5. Measures on  $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$  orbits

Suppose that  $S$  is a  $k$ -dimensional surface in  $\mathbb{R}^6$  and  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \rightarrow Q \in S$  is its parametrization (differentiable surjection). Set  $x_1 = Q_{11}$ ,  $x_2 = \sqrt{2}Q_{12}$ ,  $x_3 = \sqrt{2}Q_{13}$ ,  $x_4 = Q_{22}$ ,  $x_5 = \sqrt{2}Q_{23}$  and  $x_6 = Q_{33}$ . Then the measure on  $S$  induced by the Euclidean norm  $\|Q\| = \sqrt{\text{Tr } Q^2} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^6 x_i^2}$ , is

$$d\mu(Q) = \sqrt{\sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \left| \frac{\partial(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k})}{\partial(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)} \right|^2} d\alpha_1 \dots d\alpha_k. \tag{5.1}$$

With the aid of this formula, we can calculate the measures on any  $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$  orbit. We shall suppress numeral factors of the measures which are absorbed in the normalization of wavefunctions.

Let  $r(\phi, \theta, \psi) = h(\phi)g(\theta)h(\psi) \in SO(3)$ . If  $Q \in S_5^+$ , then  $Q(\phi, \theta, \nu) = r(\phi, \theta, \psi) \text{diag}(0, 0, \nu)r^T(\phi, \theta, \psi) = h(\phi)g(\theta) \text{diag}(0, 0, \nu)g^T(\theta)h^T(\phi)$ , where  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . We can take  $\nu, \theta, \phi$  as parameters of the orbit  $S_5^+$ . By equation (5.1),

$$d\mu_5(Q) = \nu^2 d\nu \sin \theta d\theta d\phi. \tag{5.2}$$

If  $Q \in S_3^+$  or  $S^4$ , then

$$Q(\phi, \theta, \psi, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = r(\phi, \theta, \psi) \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \pm\lambda_2, 0)r^T(\phi, \theta, \psi) \quad \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

According as  $Q \in S_3^+$  or  $Q \in S_4$ , equation (5.1) implies that

$$d\mu_3(Q) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 |\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2 \sin \theta d\theta d\phi d\psi \tag{5.3}$$

$$d\mu_4(Q) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2 \sin \theta d\theta d\phi d\psi. \tag{5.4}$$

The measures (5.2), (5.3) and (5.4) are quasi-invariant [25].

If  $Q \in S_1^+$  or  $Q \in S_2^+$ , then

$$Q(\phi, \theta, \psi, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) = r(\phi, \theta, \psi) \text{diag}(\pm\lambda_1, \pm\lambda_2, \lambda_3)r^T(\phi, \theta, \psi)$$

$$\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \mathbb{R}^+ \quad \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 = 1$$

and  $\text{Tr } Q^{-2} = \sum_{i=1}^3 1/\lambda_i^2$ . If we introduce two independent variables  $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\lambda_1 = \exp[2(\varepsilon_2/\sqrt{2} - \varepsilon_0/\sqrt{6})]$ ,  $\lambda_2 = \exp[2(-\varepsilon_2/\sqrt{2} - \varepsilon_0/\sqrt{6})]$ ,  $\lambda_3 = \exp(2\sqrt{2/3}\varepsilon_0)$ , then, according as  $Q \in S_1^+$  or  $Q \in S_2^+$ , quasi-invariant measures calculated from formula (5.1) are

$$d\mu_1(Q) = \sqrt{\text{Tr } Q^{-2}} |\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| |\lambda_2 - \lambda_3| |\lambda_3 - \lambda_1| d\varepsilon_0 d\varepsilon_2 \sin \theta d\theta d\phi d\psi$$

$$d\mu_2(Q) = \sqrt{\text{Tr } Q^{-2}} |\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| (\lambda_2 + \lambda_3)(\lambda_3 + \lambda_1) d\varepsilon_0 d\varepsilon_2 \sin \theta d\theta d\phi d\psi.$$

However, on  $S_1^+$  and  $S_2^+$ , there exist invariant measures induced from the measure  $dV = dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_6$  of  $\mathbb{R}^6$ . The group  $GL^+(3, \mathbb{R})$  is the direct product  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ ,

† A proof is given by slightly modifying the proof of theorem 4.4 (p 340) of [19].

and  $V_i^+ = \cup_{l>0} S_l^+(I)$ , where  $i=1, 2$ , are  $GL^+(3, \mathbb{R})$  orbits in  $\mathbb{R}^6$ . On  $V_1^+$  and  $V_2^+$ , the measure  $dV = dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_6$  takes the form

$$dv_1^+(Q) = l^5 |\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| |\lambda_2 - \lambda_3| |\lambda_3 - \lambda_1| dl d\varepsilon_0 d\varepsilon_2 \sin \theta d\theta d\phi d\psi$$

$$dv_2^+(Q) = l^5 |\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| (\lambda_2 + \lambda_3)(\lambda_3 + \lambda_1) dl d\varepsilon_0 d\varepsilon_2 \sin \theta d\theta d\phi d\psi$$

respectively. These measures are invariant under the action of  $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ . This is because, if  $Q' = aQa^T$  for  $a \in SL(3, \mathbb{R})$  then  $\partial(Q'_{11}, \dots, Q'_{33})/\partial(Q_{11}, \dots, Q_{33}) = (\det a)^4 = 1$ . Since  $l^3 = \det Q$  and  $\det Q$  is invariant under the action of  $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $d\mu_i(Q) = dv_i^+(Q)/d|l|_{l=1}$ ,  $i=1, 2$ , are invariant measures on  $S_1^+$  and  $S_2^+$ . They are of the form

$$d\mu_1(Q) = |\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| |\lambda_2 - \lambda_3| |\lambda_3 - \lambda_1| d\varepsilon_0 d\varepsilon_2 \sin \theta d\theta d\phi d\psi \tag{5.5}$$

$$d\mu_2(Q) = |\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| (\lambda_2 + \lambda_3)(\lambda_3 + \lambda_1) d\varepsilon_0 d\varepsilon_2 \sin \theta d\theta d\phi d\psi. \tag{5.6}$$

### 6. Induced unitary representations of $CM(3)$

The method of constructing irreducible unitary representations of such a semidirect product group as  $CM(3)$  is well known [26]. Let  $S$  be one of the  $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$  orbits whose origin is  $O$ , and  $K$  is the isotropy subgroup. Then  $S$  is diffeomorphic [27] to  $SL(3, \mathbb{R})/K$ . Let  $H^L$  be the carrier space of an irreducible unitary representation of  $K$  labelled by  $L$  and  $\{|\tau\rangle\}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $H^L$ . Let  $L_k: H^L \rightarrow H^L$  be the representation of  $k \in K$ . Then

$$L_k|\tau\rangle = \sum_{\tau'} \mathcal{D}_{\tau\tau'}(k)|\tau'\rangle \tag{6.1}$$

where  $(\mathcal{D}_{\tau\tau'}(k))$  is the representation matrix.

For  $Q \in S$ , choose an element  $g_Q \in SL(3, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $Q = g_Q \cdot O$ . The way of choosing  $g_Q$  is not unique. However, it suffices that the collection  $B_S = \{g_Q | Q \in S\}$  becomes a Borel set [28, 29] in  $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ . Since  $SL(3, \mathbb{R}) \in \mathbb{R}^9$  is locally compact (cf [19], p 186, corollary 8.3), it is equipped with the  $\sigma$ -ring consisting of Borel sets, and for each orbit  $S$  we can concretely choose  $g_Q$  so that  $B_S$  becomes a Borel set. The map  $Q \rightarrow g_Q$  is, in general, neither differentiable nor continuous. Given  $g \in SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ , let  $Q = g \cdot O$  and  $g_Q \in B_S$  be the element such that  $Q = g_Q \cdot O$ , then  $k_g = g_Q^{-1}g$  belongs to  $K$ . The decomposition  $g = g_Q k_g$  is called the Mackey decomposition [29]. We denote the map  $g \rightarrow k_g$  by  $\sigma$ . Since the map  $Q \rightarrow g_Q$  is not continuous, neither is the map  $\sigma: g \rightarrow k_g$ .

Let  $\mu$  be the invariant or a quasi-invariant measure on  $S$ . If we choose  $c = \sqrt{d\mu(g^{-1}Q')/d\mu(Q')}$  in equation (3.4), then it holds that the completeness relation

$$1 = \int_S |\mathcal{Q}'\rangle d\mu(Q') \langle \mathcal{Q}'| = \int_S |\mathcal{Q}''\rangle d\mu(Q'') \langle \mathcal{Q}''|.$$

Let  $\mathcal{L}^2(S, \mu, H^L)$  be the set consisting of square integrable functions  $f: S \rightarrow H^L$ . That is, if  $f \in \mathcal{L}^2(S, \mu, H^L)$ ,

$$f(Q) = \sum_{\tau} f_{\tau}(Q)|\tau\rangle$$

$$\langle f|f\rangle = \int_S \sum_{\tau} |f_{\tau}(Q)|^2 d\mu(Q) < +\infty.$$

For  $Q \in S$  let  $Q = g_Q \cdot O$ , where  $g_Q \in B_S$ . Given  $g_0 \in SL(3, \mathbb{R})$  let  $Q' = g_0^{-1} \cdot Q$ ,  $g_1 = g_0^{-1} g_Q$  and  $g_1 = g_Q k_{g_1}$  be the Mackey decomposition of  $g_1$ . Now, for  $U_{g_0} \in SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ , define a linear map  $\rho(U_{g_0}): \mathcal{L}^2(S, \mu, H^L) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(S, \mu, H^L)$  by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\rho(U_{g_0})f)(Q) &= L_{k_{g_1}}^{-1} \left( \sum_{\tau} \langle Q | U_{g_0} | f_{\tau} \rangle \right) | \tau \rangle \\
 &= \sqrt{\frac{d\mu(g_0^{-1} \cdot Q)}{d\mu(Q)}} L_{k_{g_1}}^{-1} \left( \sum_{\tau} f_{\tau}(g_0^{-1} \cdot Q) | \tau \rangle \right) \\
 &= \sqrt{\frac{d\mu(g_0^{-1} \cdot Q)}{d\mu(Q)}} \sum_{\tau, \tau'} \mathcal{D}(k_{g_1})_{\tau\tau'} f_{\tau'}(g_0^{-1} \cdot Q) | \tau \rangle.
 \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

Then  $\rho(U_{g_0})$  is a strongly continuous unitary representation of  $U_{g_0}$ . Note that  $g \rightarrow k_g$  is not continuous and therefore  $g \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\tau\tau'}(k_g)$  is not continuous, but  $U_{g_0} \rightarrow \rho(U_{g_0})$  is continuous. That is, even if  $g \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\tau\tau'}(k_g)$  is not continuous, if  $\|g_0 - \tilde{g}_0\|$  is sufficiently small  $|\mathcal{D}_{\tau\tau'}(k_{g_1}) - \mathcal{D}_{\tau\tau'}(k_{\tilde{g}_1})|$  becomes arbitrary small for  $k_{g_1} = \sigma(g_0^{-1} g_Q)$  and  $k_{\tilde{g}_1} = \sigma(\tilde{g}_0^{-1} g_Q)$ . Finally, for  $U_{\kappa} U_g \in CM(3)$  if we define

$$\rho(U_{\kappa} U_g): \mathcal{L}^2(S, \mu, H^L) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(S, \mu, H^L)$$

by

$$(\rho(U_{\kappa} U_g)f)(Q) = \chi_Q(U_{\kappa})(\rho(U_g)f)(Q)$$

then  $U_{\kappa} U_g \rightarrow \rho(U_{\kappa} U_g)$  is an irreducible unitary representation of  $CM(3)$ .

If  $L$  is the trivial representation of  $K$ , then equation (6.2) becomes

$$(\rho(U_{g_0})f)(Q) = \sqrt{\frac{d\mu(g_0^{-1} \cdot Q)}{d\mu(Q)}} f(g_0^{-1} \cdot Q) \tag{6.3}$$

and  $\mathcal{L}^2(\mu, S, H^L)$  becomes  $\mathcal{L}^2(S, \mu) = \{f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C} | \int_S |f(Q)|^2 d\mu(Q) < +\infty\}$ . As  $\int_S \sum_{\tau} |f_{\tau}(Q)|^2 d\mu(Q) < +\infty$ , obviously  $f_{\tau} \in \mathcal{L}^2(S, \mu)$ . Therefore, if  $\{u_n(Q)\}$  is a basis for  $\mathcal{L}^2(S, \mu)$ , then  $\{u_n(Q) \otimes | \tau \rangle\}$  serves as a basis for  $\mathcal{L}^2(S, \mu, H^L)$ .

### 7. Basis for $\mathcal{L}^2(S, \mu)$

Let us introduce some basis for  $\mathcal{L}^2(S, \mu)$  by considering the structure of  $S$ . First we note that if  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a homeomorphism,  $\mu_X$  and  $\mu_Y$  are quasi-invariant measures on  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively and  $\{\phi_n(x)\}$  is a basis for  $\mathcal{L}^2(X, \mu_X)$ , then the collection of  $\psi_n(y)$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi_n(y) &= \sqrt{\frac{d\mu_X(x)}{d\mu_Y(y)}} (\phi \cdot f^{-1})(y) = \sqrt{\frac{d\mu_X(x)}{d\mu_Y(y)}} \phi_n(x) \\
 y = f(x) \in Y & \quad x = f^{-1}(y) \in X
 \end{aligned}$$

serves as a basis for  $\mathcal{L}^2(Y, \mu_Y)$ . Also, we shall make use of the fact that if  $g: X \rightarrow Y$  is a surjection and  $h: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is constant on the inverse image  $g^{-1}(y)$  of  $y \in Y$ , then  $h$  can be regarded as a function on  $Y$ .

7.1. Basis for  $\mathcal{L}^2(S_5^+, \mu_5)$

If  $Q \in S_5^+$ , then  $Q = v\tilde{Q}$ , where  $v \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\tilde{Q} \in M_5 \cong P^2$ . The map  $(v, \tilde{Q}) \rightarrow v\tilde{Q} \in S_5^+$  is continuous. Also, the inverse of the map is continuous. Because, for  $Q \in S_5^+$ ,  $v = \text{Tr } Q$  and  $\tilde{Q} = Q/v$  are continuous. Thus  $S_5^+ \cong \mathbb{R}^+ \times P^2$ . Let  $d\mu_{\mathbb{R}^+}(v) = e^{-v} dv$ . Then Laguerre polynomials [30]  $L_n(v) = e^v d^n(e^{-v} v^n)/dv^n$ , satisfying the orthogonality

$$\int_0^\infty L_n(v)L_m(v) d\mu_{\mathbb{R}^+}(v) = (n!)^2 \delta_{nm}$$

are complete in  $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \mu_{\mathbb{R}^+})$ . Spherical harmonics  $Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi)$  are complete in  $\mathcal{L}^2(S^2, \mu_{S^2})$ , where  $d\mu_{S^2}(\theta, \phi) = \sin \theta d\theta d\phi$ . Functions on  $S^2$  which take the same value on a pair of antipodal points can be regarded as functions on  $P^2$  (cf equation (4.1)). As  $Y_{lm}(\pi - \theta, \phi + \pi) = (-1)^l Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi)$ , the set  $\{Y_{lm} | l \text{ even}\}$  is complete in  $\mathcal{L}^2(P^2, \mu_{S^2})$ . The difference of the measures  $d\mu_5(Q)$  and  $d\mu_{\mathbb{R}^+}(v) d\mu_{S^2}(\theta, \phi)$  is compensated by merely multiplying  $L_n(v) Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi)$  by  $e^{-v/2}/v$ . Thus,

$$\{(e^{-v/2}/v)L_n(v)Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) | n \in \mathbb{N}, l \text{ even}\}$$

is an orthogonal basis for  $\mathcal{L}^2(S_5^+, \mu_5)$ .

7.2. Basis for  $\mathcal{L}^2(S_3^+, \mu_3)$

If  $Q \in S_3^+$ , then  $Q = r \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, 0)r^T$ , where  $r \in SO(3)$  and  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Let  $v = \sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}$  and  $e^\varepsilon = \sqrt{\lambda_1/\lambda_2}$ , then  $v \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $Q = vr \text{diag}(e^\varepsilon, e^{-\varepsilon}, 0)r^T$ . The orbit  $S_3^+$  is the Cartesian product of  $\mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\tilde{S}_3 = \{r \text{diag}(e^\varepsilon, e^{-\varepsilon}, 0)r^T | \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}, r \in SO(3)\}$ . In fact, if  $Q \in S_3^+$ , then  $v = \sqrt{[(\text{Tr } Q)^2 - \text{Tr } Q^2]/2}$  and  $\tilde{Q} = Q/v$  are continuous, and the inverse  $(v, \tilde{Q}) \rightarrow v\tilde{Q}$  is continuous. Let

$$SO(2) = \left\{ h(\phi) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & -\sin \phi & 0 \\ \sin \phi & \cos \phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| \phi \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Since

$$SO(3) = \{h(\phi)g(\theta)h(\psi) | h(\phi), h(\psi) \in SO(2), \theta \in [0, \pi]\}$$

and  $\{\text{diag}(e^\varepsilon, e^{-\varepsilon}, 0) | \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}\} \cong \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\tilde{S}_3$  is the image set of the map

$$\tilde{f}_3: SO(2) \times [0, \pi] \times SO(2) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^6$$

defined by

$$\tilde{f}_3(h(\phi), \theta, h(\psi), \varepsilon) = h(\phi)g(\theta)h(\psi) \text{diag}(e^\varepsilon, e^{-\varepsilon}, 0)h^T(\psi)g^T(\theta)h^T(\phi).$$

However, there exists a more convenient parametrization of  $Q \in \tilde{S}_3$ . Let  $\tilde{X}_3 = \{h \text{diag}(e^\varepsilon, e^{-\varepsilon}, 0)h^T | h \in SO(2)\} \subset \tilde{S}_3$ . Define a map  $\tilde{g}_3: SO(2) \times [0, \pi] \times \tilde{X}_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^6$  by  $\tilde{g}_3(h(\phi), \theta, P) = h(\phi)g(\theta)Pg^T(\theta)h^T(\phi)$ , where  $P = h(\psi) \text{diag}(e^\varepsilon, e^{-\varepsilon}, 0)h^T(\psi) \in \tilde{X}_3$ , then  $\tilde{f}_3(h(\phi), \theta, h(\psi), \varepsilon) = \tilde{g}_3(h(\phi), \theta, P)$  and  $\tilde{S}_3 = \tilde{g}_3(SO(2) \times [0, \pi] \times \tilde{X}_3)$ . Since

$$\tilde{g}_3(h(\phi), 0, P) = (h(\phi)h(\psi)) \text{diag}(e^\varepsilon, e^{-\varepsilon}, 0)(h(\phi)h(\psi))^T \in \tilde{X}_3$$

$$\tilde{g}_3(h(\phi), \pi, P) = (h(\phi)h^{-1}(\psi)) \text{diag}(e^\varepsilon, e^{-\varepsilon}, 0)(h(\phi)h^{-1}(\psi))^T \in \tilde{X}_3$$

$SO(2) \times \{0\} \times \tilde{X}_3$  and  $SO(2) \times \{\pi\} \times \tilde{X}_3$  are projected on to  $\tilde{X}_3$ . If  $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ , then

$\tilde{g}_3(h(\phi), \theta, P)$  does not belong to  $\tilde{X}_3$ . We shall consider functions from  $SO(2) \times [0, \pi] \times \tilde{X}_3$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ , which are constant on  $\tilde{g}_3^{-1}(Q)$ .

First we show that  $\tilde{X}_3 \cong \mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $p_{ij}$  denote the  $(i, j)$  component of  $P = h(\psi) \text{diag}(e^\epsilon, e^{-\epsilon}, 0)h^T(\psi) \in \tilde{X}_3$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} u &= p_{11} + p_{22} = (e^\epsilon + e^{-\epsilon}) & v &= p_{11} - p_{22} = (e^\epsilon - e^{-\epsilon}) \cos 2\psi \\ w &= 2p_{12} = (e^\epsilon - e^{-\epsilon}) \sin 2\psi \end{aligned} \tag{7.1}$$

and the other components of  $P$  are 0. Since  $u^2 - (v^2 + w^2) = 4$  and  $u > 0$ ,  $\tilde{X}_3$  is a sheet of the hyperboloid of two sheets. The projection  $(u, v, w) \rightarrow (v, w)$  and its inverse  $(v, w) \rightarrow (\sqrt{4 + v^2 + w^2}, v, w)$  are differentiable, and consequently  $\tilde{X}_3 \cong \mathbb{R}^2$ .

Let  $H_n(u)$  denote a Hermite polynomial [31]. Then

$$\{ \exp[-(v^2 + w^2)/2] H_m(v) H_n(w) \mid n, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots \}$$

is complete in  $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mu_{\mathbb{R}^2})$ , where  $d\mu_{\mathbb{R}^2}(v, w) = dv dw$ . However, it is more convenient to adopt their linear combinations

$$\begin{aligned} \sum c_{mn} H_m(v) H_n(w) &= \exp[-(v^2 + w^2)/2] L_j^{|k|}(v^2 + w^2) (v + iw)^k & \text{if } k \geq 0 \\ &= \exp[-(v^2 + w^2)/2] L_j^{|k|}(v^2 + w^2) (v - iw)^{-k} & \text{if } k < 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $L_j^{|k|}$  is the Laguerre polynomial [30],  $k$  an integer and  $j$  a non-negative integer. Substituting  $\rho \cos 2\psi$  and  $\rho \sin 2\psi$ , where  $\rho = (e^\epsilon - e^{-\epsilon})$ , for  $v$  and  $w$  respectively, we have basis functions

$$\phi_{j,k}(\rho, \psi) = e^{-\rho^2/2} \rho^{|k|} L_j^{|k|}(\rho^2) e^{2ik\psi} \tag{7.2}$$

well defined on  $\tilde{X}_3$ . The function  $\phi_{j,k}(\rho, \psi)$  is invariant under the transformation  $(\rho, \psi) \rightarrow (-\rho, \psi \pm \pi/2)$ . This invariance arises from  $h(\psi) \text{diag}(e^\epsilon, e^{-\epsilon}, 0)h^T(\psi) = h(\psi \pm \pi/2) \text{diag}(e^{-\epsilon}, e^\epsilon, 0)h^T(\psi \pm \pi/2)$ . In this parametrization of  $(v, w)$  by  $(\rho, \psi)$  any function on  $\tilde{X}_3$  must satisfy the invariance. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^0 \rho d\rho \int_0^{2\pi} d\psi F(\rho, \psi) &= \int_0^\infty \rho d\rho \int_{\pm\pi/2}^{2\pi \pm \pi/2} d\psi F(\rho, \psi) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \rho d\rho \int_0^{2\pi} d\psi F(\rho, \psi) \end{aligned}$$

and consequently, in equation (7.2), we may restrict the domain of  $\rho$  to  $[0, -\infty)$ .

For  $h(\phi) \in SO(2)$ , let  $z_1 = e^{i\phi}$ . Then the set  $\{z_1^M d_{MK}^J(\theta) \mid J = 0, 1, \dots, M = -J, \dots, J\}$ , where  $K$  is arbitrarily chosen for each  $J$ , is complete in the space of square integrable functions on  $SO(2) \times [0, \pi]$ . Therefore,  $\{\phi_{j,k}(\rho, \psi) z_1^M d_{MK}^J(\theta)\}$  is complete in the space of square integrable functions on  $SO(2) \times [0, \pi] \times \tilde{X}_3$ .

Now, if  $\theta \in (0, \pi)$  and  $Q = \tilde{g}_3(h(\phi), \theta, P)$  then  $\tilde{g}_3^{-1}(Q)$  consists of two points  $(h(\phi), \theta, P)$  and  $(h(\phi + \pi), \pi - \theta, g(\pi)Pg(\pi))$ . Since  $g(\pi)h \text{diag}(e^\epsilon, e^{-\epsilon}, 0)h^T g(\pi) = h^T \text{diag}(e^\epsilon, e^{-\epsilon}, 0)h$ , if the value of function  $\phi_{j,k}$  at  $P$  is  $\phi_{j,k}(\rho, \psi)$ , its value at  $g(\pi)Pg(\pi)$  is  $\phi_{j,k}(\rho, -\psi)$ . Also,  $e^{iM(\phi + \pi)} d_{MK}^J(\pi - \theta) = (-1)^J e^{iM\phi} d_{M,-K}^J(\theta)$ . Therefore,

$$\psi(Q) = e^{-\rho^2/2} \rho^{|k|} L_j^{|k|}(\rho^2) e^{iM\phi} (d_{MK}^J(\theta) e^{2ik\psi} + (-1)^J d_{M,-K}^J(\theta) e^{-2ik\psi}) \tag{7.3}$$

is well defined on  $\tilde{S}_3 - \tilde{X}_3$ . We shall extend the domain of this function to  $\tilde{S}_3$ . For  $Q \in \tilde{S}_3 - \tilde{X}_3$ ,  $\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0, \pi} Q$  belongs to  $\tilde{X}_3$ . On the other hand,

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \psi(Q) = e^{-\rho^2/2} \rho^{|k|} L_j^{|k|}(\rho^2) (\delta_{MK} e^{iK\phi} e^{2ik\psi} + (-1)^J \delta_{M,-K} e^{-iK\phi} e^{-2ik\psi}).$$

The limit  $\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \psi(Q)$  becomes a function on  $\tilde{X}_3$  if and only if  $K = 2k$ . This is because, if and only if  $K = 2k$ ,  $\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \psi(Q)$  is a function of  $h(\phi)h(\psi) \in SO(2)$  and is a linear combination of the functions given in equation (7.2). Also, if  $K = 2k$ ,

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow \pi} \psi(Q) = e^{-\rho^2/2} \rho^{|k|} L_j^{|k|}(\rho^2) ((-1)^J \delta_{M,-K} e^{-i2k(\phi - \psi)} + \delta_{MK} e^{i2k(\phi - \psi)})$$

which is a function of  $h(\phi)h^{-1}(\psi) \in SO(2)$ , and well defined on  $\tilde{X}_3$ .

Let  $\gamma = \{n, j, J, M, 2k\}$ ,  $\Gamma = \{\gamma\}$  and

$$\psi_\gamma(Q) = L_n(v) e^{-\rho^2/2} \rho^{|k|} L_j^{|k|}(\rho^2) (D_{M,2k}^J(\phi, \theta, \psi) + (-1)^J D_{M,-2k}^J(\phi, \theta, \psi)). \tag{7.4}$$

In the variables  $\rho$  and  $v$ ,

$$d\mu_3(Q) = 2v^4 dv \frac{\rho d\rho}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + 4}} \sin \theta d\theta d\phi d\psi.$$

Therefore,  $\{\sqrt[4]{\rho^2 + 4} v^{-2} e^{-v/2} \psi_\gamma(Q) | \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  is a basis for  $\mathcal{L}^2(S_3^+, \mu_3)$ .

### 7.3. Basis for $\mathcal{L}^2(S_4, \mu_4)$

A basis for  $\mathcal{L}^2(S_4, \mu_4)$  can be identified in the same way as above. If  $Q \in S_4$ , then  $Q = vr \text{diag}(e^\varepsilon - e^{-\varepsilon}, 0)r^T$ , where  $v \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $r \in SO(3)$ . Therefore,  $S_4$  is a Cartesian product of  $\mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\tilde{S}_4 = \{r \text{diag}(e^\varepsilon, -e^{-\varepsilon}, 0)r^T | r \in SO(3), \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Let  $\tilde{X}_4 = \{h \text{diag}(e^\varepsilon, -e^{-\varepsilon}, 0)h^T | h \in SO(2), \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . If  $P \in \tilde{X}_4$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} u &= p_{11} + p_{22} = (e^\varepsilon - e^{-\varepsilon}) & v &= p_{11} - p_{22} = (e^\varepsilon + e^{-\varepsilon}) \cos 2\psi \\ w &= 2p_{12} = (e^\varepsilon + e^{-\varepsilon}) \sin 2\psi \end{aligned} \tag{7.5}$$

and the other components of  $P$  are 0. Since  $v^2 + w^2 - u^2 = 4$ ,  $\tilde{X}_4$  is the hyperboloid of one sheet, which is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$ ,  $S^1 = \{(n_1, n_2) | n_1^2 + n_2^2 = 1\}$ . This is because both  $(u, v, w) \rightarrow (u, v/\sqrt{v^2 + w^2}, w/\sqrt{v^2 + w^2}) \in \mathbb{R} \times S^1$  and  $(u, n_1, n_2) \rightarrow (u, \sqrt{u^2 + 4n_1}, \sqrt{u^2 + 4n_2}) \in \tilde{S}_4$  are differentiable. Therefore, the collection of functions  $e^{-u^2/2} H_m(u) e^{2ik\psi}$  serves as a basis for the space of square integrable functions on  $\tilde{X}_4$ . If we make

$$\psi_\gamma(Q) = L_n(v) e^{-u^2/2} H_m(u) (D_{M,2k}^J(\phi, \theta, \psi) + (-1)^J D_{M,-2k}^J(\phi, \theta, \psi))$$

where  $\gamma = \{n, m, J, M, 2k\}$ , then the collection  $\{v^{-2} e^{-v/2} \psi_\gamma(Q) | \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  becomes a basis for  $\mathcal{L}^2(S_4, \mu_4)$ .

### 7.4. Basis for $\mathcal{L}^2(S_2^+, \mu_2)$

We shall show that  $S_2^+$  is homeomorphic to  $S_3^+$  and infer that the collection of the functions of the form (7.4) also serves as a basis for  $\mathcal{L}^2(S_2^+, \mu_2)$ . Let  $f_2: SO(2) \times [0, \pi] \times SO(2) \times \mathbb{R}^{+2} \rightarrow S_2^+$  and  $f_3: SO(2) \times [0, \pi] \times SO(2) \times \mathbb{R}^{+2} \rightarrow S_3^+$  be



defined by

$$f_2(h(\phi), \theta, h(\psi), \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$$

$$= h(\phi)g(\theta)h(\psi) \operatorname{diag}(-\lambda_1, -\lambda_2, 1/\lambda_1\lambda_2)h^T(\psi)g^T(\theta)h^T(\phi),$$

$$f_3(h(\phi), \theta, h(\psi), \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$$

$$= h(\phi)g(\theta)h(\psi) \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, 0)h^T(\psi)g^T(\theta)h^T(\phi).$$

Let

$$X_2 = \{h \operatorname{diag}(-\lambda_1, -\lambda_2, 1/\lambda_1\lambda_2)h^T | h \in SO(2)\}$$

$$X_3 = \{h \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, 0)h^T | h \in SO(2)\}.$$

Then if and only if  $\theta=0$  or  $\pi, f_2(h(\phi), \theta, h(\psi), \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in X_2$  and  $f_3(h(\phi), \theta, h(\psi), \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in X_3$ . Also, if  $\theta=0$  or  $\pi$ , then  $f_2$  and  $f_3$  depend on  $h(\phi), h(\psi) \in SO(2)$  through the product  $h(\phi)h(\psi)$  or  $h(\phi)h^{-1}(\psi)$ .

If

$$P_3 = h(\psi) \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, 0)h^T(\psi) \in X_3$$

and

$$P_2 = h(\psi) \operatorname{diag}(-\lambda_1, -\lambda_2, 1/\lambda_1\lambda_2)h^T(\psi) \in X_2$$

then

$$P_3 = \begin{pmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} & 0 \\ p_{12} & p_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad P_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -p_{11} & -p_{12} & 0 \\ -p_{12} & -p_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/(p_{11}p_{22} - p_{12}^2) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the map  $P_2 \rightarrow P_3$  and its inverse are continuous,  $X_2 \cong X_3$ . Let  $\eta_1$  denote the homeomorphism from  $X_2$  to  $X_3$ . Obviously

$$\eta_2: SO(2) \times [0, \pi] \times X_2 \rightarrow SO(2) \times [0, \pi] \times X_3$$

defined by

$$\eta_2(h(\phi), \theta, P_2) = (h(\phi), \theta, \eta_1(P_2))$$

is a homeomorphism.

Now, if we define  $g_2: SO(2) \times [0, \pi] \times X_2 \rightarrow S_2^+$  and  $g_3: SO(2) \times [0, \pi] \times X_3 \rightarrow S_3^+$  by  $g_2(h(\phi), \theta, P_2) = h(\phi)g(\theta)P_2g^T(\theta)h^T(\phi)$  and  $g_3(h(\phi), \theta, P_2) = h(\phi)g(\theta)P_3g^T(\theta)h^T(\phi)$ , then both of  $\zeta = g_3 \cdot \eta_2 \cdot g_2^{-1}$  and  $\xi = g_2 \cdot \eta_2^{-1} \cdot \eta_2^{-1} \cdot g_3^{-1}$  become well defined continuous maps whose compositions  $\zeta \cdot \xi$  and  $\xi \cdot \zeta$  are identities. Therefore  $S_2^+ \cong S_3^+$ . If we adopt the variables  $v = \sqrt{\lambda_1\lambda_2}$  and  $\rho = \sqrt{\lambda_1/\lambda_2} - \sqrt{\lambda_2/\lambda_1}$  instead of  $\varepsilon_0$  and  $\varepsilon_2$ , the invariant measure on  $S_2^+$  becomes

$$d\mu_2(Q) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \left( \frac{v^2 + v^{-4} + \sqrt{\rho^2 + 4}}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + 4}} \right) dv \rho d\rho \sin \theta d\theta d\phi d\psi.$$

Therefore, if  $\psi_\gamma(Q)$  is given by the right side of equation (7.4), the collection  $\{e^{-v/2}(\sqrt{\rho^2 + 4}/\sqrt{v^2 + v^{-4} + \sqrt{\rho^2 + 4}})\psi_\gamma(Q) | \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  is an orthogonal basis for  $\mathcal{L}^2(S_2^+, \mu_2)$ .

7.5. Basis for  $\mathcal{L}^2(S_1^+, \mu_1)$

Finally we shall identify a basis for  $\mathcal{L}^2(S_1^+, \mu_1)$ . Let  $s = \{A \in sl(3, \mathbb{R}) \mid A = A^T\}$  be the subspace of  $sl(3, \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}^8$ . Let  $\Xi$  be the two-dimensional subspace of  $s \cong \mathbb{R}^5$  consisting of diagonal matrices. Then  $\exp: \Xi \rightarrow \Lambda$  is a diffeomorphism. If  $Q \in S_1^+$ , then  $Q = r\lambda^2 r^T$ , where  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $r \in SO(3)$ . Since  $\lambda = \exp(\xi)$  for a unique  $\xi \in \Xi$ ,  $Q = r \exp(\xi) r^T = \exp(2A)$ , where  $A = r\xi r^T \in s$ . Any  $A \in s$  can be represented in the form  $r\xi r^T$  for some  $\xi \in \Xi$  and  $r \in SO(3)$ . The map  $\exp: s \rightarrow S_1^+$  defined by the matrix power series is a diffeomorphism [32]. Therefore, any basis for  $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^5, \mu_{\mathbb{R}^5})$  becomes a basis for  $\mathcal{L}^2(S_1^+, \mu_1)$ , if the difference of the invariant measures is suitably adjusted. However, in order to show the relation of the  $CM(3)$  model and the Bohr model, we will explain some detail.

Let

$$E_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -1/\sqrt{6} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1/\sqrt{6} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2/3} \end{pmatrix} \quad E_{\pm 2} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \mp i/2 & 0 \\ \mp i/2 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$E_{\pm 1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \mp \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & i/2 \\ \mp \frac{1}{2} & i/2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, these matrices form an orthonormal basis for  $s$  with respect to the scalar product  $(E_\mu, E_\nu) = \text{Tr } E_\mu \bar{E}_\nu$ . If  $Q = (Q_{ij})$  is a  $3 \times 3$  real symmetric matrix, then

$$Q = Q^0 I + \sum_{\mu=-2}^2 Q_\mu^2 E_\mu \tag{7.6}$$

where  $Q^0$  and  $Q_\mu^2$ s are expressions given by equations (1.2) and (1.3). The matrices  $E_\mu$  transform under the action of  $r = h(\phi)g(\theta)h(\psi) \in SO(3)$ , as

$$r E_\mu r^T = \sum_{\nu=-2}^2 D_{\nu\mu}^2(\phi, \theta, \psi) E_\nu. \tag{7.7}$$

Now, if  $A \in s$  then  $A = r\xi r^T$ , for some  $\xi \in \Xi$  and  $r \in SO(3)$ . Since  $\{E_0, (E_2 + E_{-2})/\sqrt{2}\}$  is a basis for  $\Xi$ ,  $\xi = \varepsilon_0 E_0 + \varepsilon_2 (E_2 + E_{-2})/\sqrt{2}$  for some  $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore, any  $A \in s$  is the linear combination  $\sum_{\mu=-2}^2 \alpha_\mu E_\mu$ , whose coefficients are

$$\alpha_\mu = \varepsilon_0 D_{\mu,0}^2(\phi, \theta, \psi) + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{\sqrt{2}} [D_{\mu,2}^2(\phi, \theta, \psi) + D_{\mu,-2}^2(\phi, \theta, \psi)] \quad \mu = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2. \tag{7.8}$$

This expression is essentially the same as expression (1.11). Thus,  $\mathbb{R}^5 = \{\alpha_\mu\}$  of the Bohr model is the tangent space of  $S_1^+$  at the origin.

The Euclidean measure  $\Pi_{\nu=-2}^2 da_\nu$  on  $s$  is, apart from the numeral factors,

$$d\mu_s(A) = \beta^3 \left| \prod_{k=1}^3 \sin \left( \gamma - \frac{2\pi}{3} k \right) \right| \beta d\beta d\gamma \sin \theta d\theta d\phi d\psi. \tag{7.9}$$

On the other hand, if we substitute  $\beta \cos \gamma$  and  $\beta \sin \gamma$  for  $\varepsilon_0$  and  $\varepsilon_2$  in equation (5.5), respectively, we have

$$d\mu_1(Q) = \left| 8 \prod_{k=1}^3 \sinh \left[ \sqrt{2} \beta \sin \left( \gamma - \frac{2\pi}{3} k \right) \right] \right| \beta \, d\beta \, d\gamma \, \sin \theta \, d\phi \, d\psi. \tag{7.10}$$

Let  $\psi_\omega(\beta, \gamma, \phi, \theta, \psi)$  denote the eigenfunction [33–35] of the five-dimensional harmonic oscillator, where  $\omega$  denotes a set of quantum numbers. Let  $\Omega = \{\omega\}$ . Then  $\{\psi_\omega(\beta, \gamma, \phi, \theta, \psi) | \omega \in \Omega\}$  is a basis for  $\mathcal{L}^2(s, \mu_s)$ . Therefore,

$$\left\{ \sqrt{\left( \prod_{k=1}^3 \frac{\beta \sin(\gamma - 2\pi k/3)}{\sinh[\sqrt{2}\beta \sin(\gamma - 2\pi k/3)]} \right)} \psi_\omega(\beta, \gamma, \phi, \theta, \psi) | \omega \in \Omega \right\}$$

serves as a basis for  $\mathcal{L}^2(S_1^+, \mu_1)$ . As is desired from  $\lim_{\beta \rightarrow 0} Q = I + 2A$ ,  $\lim_{\beta \rightarrow 0} d\mu_1(Q)$  is proportional to  $d\mu_s(A)$ .

### 8. Representation of $cm(3)$

Let  $S, O, K$  and  $k$  denote an  $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$  orbit, its origin, the isotropy subgroup and the Lie algebra of  $K$ . Let  $\hat{Z} \in cm(3)$  and  $U_\varepsilon = e^{\varepsilon \hat{Z}}$ . The map  $\hat{Z} \rightarrow \sigma(\hat{Z})$  defined by

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{(\rho(U_\varepsilon)f)(Q) - f(Q)}{\varepsilon} = \sigma(Z)f(Q) \tag{8.1}$$

is a representation of  $cm(3)$ . Since

$$\rho(e^{i\varepsilon Q_{ij}}) f(Q') = e^{i\varepsilon Q_{ij}} f(Q')$$

$\sigma(Q_{ij}) = Q'_{ij}$ . For  $\hat{Z} \in sg$ , the left side of equation (8.1) can be calculated with equation (6.2). We denote by  $\hat{Z}$  the element of  $sg$  which is mapped to  $Z \in sl(3, \mathbb{R})$  by the isomorphism  $h|_{sg}: sg \rightarrow sl(3, \mathbb{R})$ .

Let

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $\{A_i, E_\mu | i = 1, 2, 3, \mu = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}$  is a basis for  $sl(3, \mathbb{R})$ . In some step of calculation, it is convenient to use real symmetric matrices

$$B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$B_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad H_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

instead of  $E_{\pm 2}$  and  $E_{\pm 1}$ . Let  $Z_1 = (B_1 - A_1)$  and  $Z_2 = (B_2 + A_2)$ . By means of those matrices, the Lie algebras of isotropy subgroups are

$$\begin{aligned} so(3) &= \text{span}\{A_1, A_2, A_3\} & so(2, 1) &= \text{span}\{B_1, B_2, A_3\} \\ m(2) &= \text{span}\{Z_1, Z_2, A_3\} & mh(2) &= \text{span}\{Z_1, Z_2, B_3\} \\ sa(2) &= \text{span}\{Z_1^\top, Z_2^\top, A_3, B_3, H_2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $k \rightarrow L_k$  be an irreducible unitary representation of  $K$  in  $H^L$ . We denote by  $\sigma^L(Z)$  the skew Hermitian operator which represents  $Z \in k$ . Then, if  $k = e^{\varepsilon Z} \in K$ ,

$$L_k = \exp(\varepsilon \sigma^L(Z)). \tag{8.2}$$

In sections 4 and 7,  $Q \in S$  is parametrized as  $Q = r\lambda O r^\top$ , where  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $r \in SO(3)$ . The expression is rewritten as  $Q = r\lambda^{1/2} O (r\lambda^{1/2})^\top$ , where  $\lambda^{1/2} = \text{diag}(\sqrt{\lambda_1}, \sqrt{\lambda_2}, \sqrt{\lambda_3})$  for  $\lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \in \Lambda$ . If we denote  $r\lambda^{1/2}$  by  $g_Q$ , then  $Q = g_Q O g_Q^\top = g_Q \cdot O$ . It is convenient to take  $\exp(\varepsilon_0 E_0)$  or  $\exp(\varepsilon_0 E_0 + \varepsilon_2 H_2)$  as  $\lambda^{1/2}$  according to whether  $S$  is  $S_5^+$  or the other orbits.

8.1. Angular momentum operators

If  $g_\varepsilon = \exp(\varepsilon A_k)$ , then  $U_{g_\varepsilon} = \exp(\varepsilon \hat{A}_k)$ . Since  $g_\varepsilon^{-1} g_Q = r' \lambda^{1/2}$ , where  $r' \in SO(3)$ ,  $\sqrt{d\mu(g_\varepsilon^{-1} \cdot Q) / d\mu(Q)} = 1$ . The expressions  $\sigma(\hat{A}_k)$  have two different forms according to whether  $S$  is  $S_5^+$  or the other orbits.

If  $S = S_5^+$ , we can choose  $h(\phi)g(\theta)$  as  $r \in SO(3)$ . However,

$$e^{-\varepsilon A_k} h(\phi)g(\theta) = h(\phi + \delta\phi)g(\theta + \delta\theta)h(\delta\psi). \tag{8.3}$$

As,  $h(\delta\psi)\lambda O h^\top(\delta\psi) = \lambda O$ , the rotation  $h(\delta\psi)$  belongs to  $SA(2)$ . Therefore,  $L_{h(\delta\psi)}^{-1} = \exp(-\delta\psi \sigma^L(A_3))$ . Calculating  $d\phi/d\varepsilon$ ,  $d\theta/d\varepsilon$  and  $d\psi/d\varepsilon$  with equation (8.3), we have

$$\sigma(\hat{A}_1) = \sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \cot \theta \cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} + \frac{\cos \phi}{\sin \theta} \sigma^L(A_3) \tag{8.4a}$$

$$\sigma(\hat{A}_2) = -\cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \cot \theta \cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} + \frac{\cos \phi}{\sin \theta} \sigma^L(A_3) \tag{8.4b}$$

$$\sigma(\hat{A}_3) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}. \tag{8.4c}$$

If  $S$  is one of  $S_1^+$ ,  $S_2^+$ ,  $S_3^+$  and  $S_4$ , we need to take  $h(\phi)g(\theta)h(\psi)$  as  $r \in SO(3)$ . From

$$e^{-\varepsilon A_k} h(\phi)g(\theta)h(\psi) = h(\phi + \delta\phi)g(\theta + \delta\theta)h(\psi + \delta\psi)$$

we can calculate  $d\phi/d\varepsilon$ ,  $d\theta/d\varepsilon$  and  $d\psi/d\varepsilon$ , to obtain

$$\sigma(\hat{A}_1) = \sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \cot \theta \cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} - \frac{\cos \phi}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} \tag{8.5a}$$

$$\sigma(\hat{A}_2) = -\cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \cot \theta \cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} - \frac{\cos \phi}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} \tag{8.5b}$$

$$\sigma(\hat{A}_3) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}. \tag{8.5c}$$

8.2. Symmetric tensor operators

Instead of calculating the expressions of  $\sigma(\hat{E}_\mu)$  directly at  $Q$ , it is easier to calculate them at  $Q' = r^{-1} \cdot Q$  and the origin  $O = g_Q^{-1} \cdot Q$ , and later transform them [36] to  $Q$ . If  $Z \in sl(3, \mathbb{R})$  and  $h \in Sl(3, \mathbb{R})$ , then  $hZh^{-1} \in sl(3, \mathbb{R})$ . That is,

$$hZh^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^3 c_i A_i + \sum_{\mu=-2}^2 d_\mu E_\mu \quad c_i \in \mathbb{R} \quad d_\mu = (-1)^\mu d_{-\mu} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Let  $g_\varepsilon = h e^{\varepsilon Z} h^{-1}$ . Since  $h e^{\varepsilon Z} h^{-1} = \exp(\varepsilon hZh^{-1})$ ,

$$U_{g_\varepsilon} = \exp \left[ \varepsilon \left( \sum_{i=1}^3 c_i \hat{A}_i + \sum_{\mu=-2}^2 d_\mu \hat{E}_\mu \right) \right].$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{(\rho(U_{g_\varepsilon})f)(Q) - f(Q)}{\varepsilon} &= \sigma \left( \sum_{i=1}^3 c_i \hat{A}_i + \sum_{\mu=-2}^2 d_\mu \hat{E}_\mu \right) f(Q) \\ &= \left[ \sum_{i=1}^3 c_i \sigma(\hat{A}_i) + \sum_{\mu=-2}^2 d_\mu \sigma(\hat{E}_\mu) \right] f(Q). \end{aligned} \tag{8.6}$$

The left side of the above equation can be calculated with equation (6.2). Since  $\sigma(\hat{A}_k)$ s are known, we can find  $\sigma(\hat{E}_\mu)$ s from five linear equations.

Let  $g_\varepsilon = r e^{\varepsilon E_0} r^{-1}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(g_\varepsilon^{-1} \cdot Q) &\approx f(Q) - \varepsilon \frac{\partial f(Q)}{\partial \varepsilon_0} \\ \sqrt{\frac{d\mu(g_\varepsilon^{-1} \cdot Q)}{d\mu(Q)}} &\approx 1 - \sqrt{6} \varepsilon \quad \text{if } Q \in S_3^+ \\ &\approx 1 + \frac{5}{\sqrt{6}} \varepsilon \quad \text{if } Q \in S_3^+ \text{ or } S_4 \end{aligned}$$

$\sqrt{d\mu_{1,2}(g_\varepsilon^{-1} \cdot Q)/d\mu_{1,2}(Q)} = 1$  for any  $g \in SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ . Since  $r E_0 r^{-1} = \sum_{\nu=-2}^2 D_{\nu,0}^2 E_\nu$  equation (8.6) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \sum_{\nu=-2}^2 D_{\nu,0}^2 \sigma(\hat{E}_\nu) \right] f(Q) &= - \left( \sqrt{6} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_0} \right) f(Q) \quad \text{if } Q \in S_3^+ \\ &= \left( \frac{5}{\sqrt{6}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_0} \right) f(Q) \quad \text{if } Q \in S_3^+ \text{ or } S_4 \\ &= - \frac{\partial f(Q)}{\partial \varepsilon_0} \quad \text{if } Q \in S_1^+ \text{ or } S_2^+. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $g_\varepsilon = r e^{\varepsilon H_2} r^{-1}$ . If  $Q \in S_5^+$ , then  $g_\varepsilon^{-1} g_Q = r \lambda^{1/2} e^{-\varepsilon H_2}$  and  $g_\varepsilon^{-1} \cdot Q = Q$ . Consequently, the measure is unchanged by  $g_\varepsilon$  and  $k = e^{-\varepsilon H_2}$  belongs to  $SA(2)$ . Therefore,  $L_k^{-1} = \exp[\varepsilon \sigma^L(H_2)]$ . If  $Q$  belongs to the other orbits,

$$f(g_\varepsilon^{-1} \cdot Q) \approx f(Q) - \varepsilon \frac{\partial f(Q)}{\partial \varepsilon_2}$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{d\mu(g_\varepsilon^{-1} \cdot Q)}{d\mu(Q)}} \approx 1 - \varepsilon \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \quad \text{if } Q \in S_3^+$$

$$\approx 1 - \varepsilon \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} \quad \text{if } Q \in S_4$$

where  $\lambda_1 = \exp(\sqrt{2}\varepsilon_2 - \sqrt{2/3}\varepsilon_0)$  and  $\lambda_2 = \exp(-\sqrt{2}\varepsilon_2 - \sqrt{2/3}\varepsilon_0)$ . Since  $H_2 = (E_2 + E_{-2})/\sqrt{2}$ , equation (8.6) implies that

$$\left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\nu=-2}^2 (D_{\nu,2}^2 + D_{\mu,-2}^2) \sigma(\hat{E}_\nu) \right] f(Q)$$

$$= \sigma^L(H_2) f(Q) \quad \text{if } Q \in S_5^+$$

$$= - \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_2} + \frac{\lambda_1 \pm \lambda_2}{\lambda_1 \mp \lambda_2} \right) f(Q) \quad \text{if } Q \in S_3^+ \text{ or } S_4$$

$$= - \frac{\partial f(Q)}{\partial \varepsilon_2} \quad \text{if } Q \in S_1^+ \text{ or } S_2^+.$$

If  $Z \in k$  and  $g_\varepsilon = r \lambda^{1/2} \exp(\varepsilon Z) \lambda^{-1/2} r^{-1}$ , then  $g_\varepsilon^{-1} g_Q = g_Q \exp(-\varepsilon Z)$ . Therefore,  $\sqrt{d\mu(g_\varepsilon^{-1} \cdot Q)/d\mu(Q)} = 1$  and the right side of equation (8.6) is  $\sigma^L(Z) f(Q)$ .

If  $Z = H_2$  then  $g_\varepsilon = r \exp(\varepsilon H_2) r^{-1}$ , and the expression of the left side of equation (8.6) is already known. We need to calculate the left side of equation (8.6) for  $A_s, B_s$ . The other elements of  $k$  are their linear combinations. Let

$$c_i(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_j}} + \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_k}} \right) \quad s_i(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_j}} - \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_k}} \right) \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \quad (8.7)$$

where  $i, j, k$  is a cyclic permutation of 1, 2, 3. Coefficients  $c_i$  and  $d_\nu$  in equation (8.6) are calculated from the following formulae:

$$\lambda^{1/2} A_k \lambda^{-1/2} = s_k(\lambda) B_k + c_k(\lambda) A_k \quad \lambda^{1/2} B_k \lambda^{-1/2} = s_k(\lambda) A_k + c_k(\lambda) B_k$$

and

$$B_1 = -i(E_1 + E_{-1}) \quad B_2 = (E_{-1} - E_1) \quad B_3 = i(E_2 - E_{-2}).$$

The expressions for angular momentum operators in the body fixed frame also differ according as  $Q \in S_5^+$  or not. If  $r_{ij}$  denotes the  $(i, j)$  component of  $r \in SO(3)$ , then  $r A_k r^{-1} = \sum_{j=1}^3 r_{jk} A_j$ , and therefore  $-i \mathcal{K}_k = \sigma(\sum_{j=1}^3 r_{jk} \hat{A}_j) = \sum_{j=1}^3 r_{jk} \sigma(\hat{A}_j)$ . If  $r = h(\phi) g(\theta) h(\psi)$ , we have the well known expressions

$$-i \mathcal{K}_1 = -\cot \theta \cos \psi \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} - \sin \psi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\cos \phi}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \quad (8.8a)$$

$$-i \mathcal{K}_2 = \cot \theta \sin \psi \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} - \cos \psi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\sin \phi}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \quad (8.8b)$$

$$-i \mathcal{K}_3 = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \psi}. \quad (8.8c)$$

However, if  $Q \in S_5^+$ , since  $r = h(\phi)g(\theta)$ ,

$$-i\mathcal{K}_1 = \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} + \cot \theta \sigma^L(A_3) \quad -i\mathcal{K}_2 = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \quad -i\mathcal{K}_3 = \sigma^L(A_3). \tag{8.9}$$

With the above expressions, expressions for  $\sigma(\hat{E}_\mu)$ s are calculated. All of them are of the form  $\sigma(\hat{E}_\mu) = \Sigma_{\nu=-2}^2 \bar{D}_{\mu,\nu}^2(\phi, \theta, \psi) T_\nu$ , where  $\psi$  is taken to be identically 0 on  $S_5^+$ . We list the expressions of  $T_\nu$ s below.

On  $S_5^+$ ,

$$T_{\pm 2} = \frac{1}{2} [\sqrt{2} \sigma^L(H_2) \mp i \sigma^L(B_3)] \tag{8.10a}$$

$$T_{\pm 1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ e^{-\sqrt{6}\varepsilon_0} \{ i \sigma^L(Z_1^\Gamma) \mp \sigma^L(Z_2^\Gamma) \} \mp \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \frac{i}{\sin \theta} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} + \cot \theta \sigma^L(A_3) \right) \right] \tag{8.10b}$$

$$T_0 = - \left( \sqrt{6} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_0} \right). \tag{8.10c}$$

On  $S_3^+$ ,

$$T_{\pm 2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sqrt{2} \left( -\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_2} - \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \right) \mp \left( i \frac{\sigma^L(A_3)}{s_3(\lambda)} - \frac{c_3(\lambda)}{s_3(\lambda)} \mathcal{K}_3 \right) \right] \tag{8.11a}$$

$$T_{\pm 1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ i \frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_1} \sigma^L(Z_1) \mp \frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_2} \sigma^L(Z_2) + \mathcal{K}_1 \mp i \mathcal{K}_2 \right] \tag{8.11b}$$

$$T_0 = \left( \frac{5}{\sqrt{6}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_0} \right) \tag{8.11c}$$

where  $\lambda_1 = \exp(\sqrt{2}\varepsilon_2 - \sqrt{2/3}\varepsilon_0)$ ,  $\lambda_2 = \exp(-\sqrt{2}\varepsilon_2 - \sqrt{2/3}\varepsilon_0)$ .

On  $S_4$ , the expressions of  $T_0$  and  $T_{\pm 1}$  are the same as above, and

$$T_{\pm 2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sqrt{2} \left( -\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_2} - \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} \right) \mp \left( i \frac{\sigma^L(B_2)}{s_3(\lambda)} - \frac{s_3(\lambda)}{c_3(\lambda)} \mathcal{K}_3 \right) \right]. \tag{8.12}$$

On  $S_1^+$

$$T_{\pm 2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ -\sqrt{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_2} \mp \left( i \frac{\sigma^L(A_3)}{s_3(\lambda)} - \frac{c_3(\lambda)}{s_3(\lambda)} \mathcal{K}_3 \right) \right] \tag{8.13a}$$

$$T_{\pm 1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ i \frac{\sigma^L(A_1)}{s_1(\lambda)} \mp \frac{\sigma^L(A_2)}{s_2(\lambda)} - \frac{c_1(\lambda)}{s_1(\lambda)} \mathcal{K}_1 \mp \frac{c_2(\lambda)}{s_2(\lambda)} i \mathcal{K}_2 \right] \tag{8.13b}$$

$$T_0 = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_0}. \tag{8.13c}$$

On  $S_2^+$ , the expressions of  $T_0$  and  $T_{\pm 2}$  are the same as above, and

$$T_{\pm 1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ i \frac{\sigma^L(B_1)}{c_1(\lambda)} \mp \frac{\sigma^L(B_2)}{c_2(\lambda)} - \frac{s_1(\lambda)}{c_1(\lambda)} \mathcal{K}_1 \mp \frac{s_2(\lambda)}{c_2(\lambda)} i \mathcal{K}_2 \right]. \tag{8.14}$$

Transforming variables from  $\varepsilon_0$  and  $\varepsilon_2$  to  $v = \sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}$  and  $\varepsilon = \log \sqrt{\lambda_1 / \lambda_2}$  or  $\rho = e^\varepsilon - e^{-\varepsilon}$  is straightforward.

### 9. $CM(3)$ model Hamiltonian

If  $Q \in S_1^+$  and  $\beta = \sqrt{\varepsilon_0^2 + \varepsilon_2^2}$  is small and the representation of  $SO(3)$  is trivial,  $\sigma(\hat{E}_\mu)$  approaches to  $-\partial/\partial\alpha_\mu = -\sum_{\nu=-2}^2 \bar{D}_{\mu,\nu}^2 \pi_\nu$ , where  $\alpha_\mu$  is given in equation (1.11) and

$$\pi_{\pm 2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_2} \pm \frac{\mathcal{K}_3}{2\varepsilon_2} \right) \quad \pi_{\pm 1} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\mathcal{K}_1}{u+v} \mp i \frac{\mathcal{K}_2}{u-v} \right) \quad \pi_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_0} \tag{9.1}$$

where  $u = \sqrt{3/2} \varepsilon_0$  and  $v = \varepsilon_2 / \sqrt{2}$ .

Therefore, the analogue of the Bohr Hamiltonian in the  $CM(3)$  model will be

$$\mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{2B} \sum_{\mu=-2}^2 (-1)^\mu \hat{\sigma}(E_\mu) \hat{\sigma}(E_{-\mu}) + C \sum_{\mu=-2}^2 (-1)^\mu Q_\mu^2 Q_{-\mu}^2 \tag{9.2}$$

where  $B$  and  $C$  are parameters which cannot be determined from the model.

The reason why only the representation of  $cm(3)$  in  $\mathcal{L}^2(S_1^+, \mu_1)$  has the correspondence with the Bohr model is as follows. Consider a quadratic form  $A(y) = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 Q_{ij} y_i y_j$ , where  $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$ . With formula (1.1),  $A(y) = \sum_{n=1}^A (\sum_{i=1}^3 x_{in} y_i)^2$ . Let  $\Psi(x_1, \dots, x_A)$  be a wavefunction of the  $A$ -particle system,  $dx_n = dx_{1n} dx_{2n} dx_{3n}$  and

$$\rho_n(x_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(A-1)}} \bar{\Psi}(x_1, \dots, x_A) \Psi(x_1, \dots, x_A) dx_1 \dots dx_{n-1} dx_{n+1} \dots dx_A.$$

Then  $\langle \Psi | A(y) | \Psi \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^A \int (\sum_{i=1}^3 x_{in} y_i)^2 \rho_n(x_n) dx_n \geq 0$ . Therefore, if  $\langle \Psi | A(y) | \Psi \rangle = 0$ , then  $\int (\sum_{i=1}^3 x_{in} y_i)^2 \rho_n(x_n) dx_n = 0$  for any  $n$ . As  $\rho_n(x_n) \geq 0$  and is not identically 0,  $(\sum_{i=1}^3 x_{in} y_i)^2 = 0$  for any  $n$ . As  $y$  is arbitrary,  $x_n = 0$  for any  $n$ . Thus, the matrix  $(Q_{ij})$  must be positive definite. Only the representation in  $\mathcal{L}^2(S_1^+, \mu_1)$  satisfies the condition. We do not know if the other representations have applications in some field of physics or not.

### Acknowledgment

One of the authors (HO) dedicates this article to the memory of MN.

### References

- [1] Weaver L, Biedenharn L C and Cusson R Y 1973 *Ann. Phys.*, (NY) 77
- [2] Rosensteel G and Rowe D J 1976 *Ann. Phys.*, (NY) 96 1
- [3] Weaver O L, Cusson R Y and Biedenharn L C 1976 *Ann. Phys.*, (NY) 102 493
- [4] Rosensteel G and Ihrig E 1979 *Ann. Phys.*, (NY) 121 113



- [5] Rosensteel G and Rowe D J 1979 *Ann. Phys.*, (NY) **123** 36
- [6] Bohr A 1952 *Mat.-fys. Medd. Dan. Vid. Selsk.* **26** No 14
- [7] Bohr A and Mottelson B 1952 *Mat.-fys. Medd. Dan. Vid. Selsk.* **27** No 16
- [8] Barut A O and Raczka R 1986 *Theory of Group Representations and Applications* (Singapore: World Scientific) p 249
- [9] Barut A O and Raczka R 1986 *Theory of Group Representations and Applications* (Singapore: World Scientific) p 8
- [10] Sagle A and Welde E 1973 *Introduction to Lie Groups and Lie Algebras* (New York: Academic) p 32
- [11] Matsushima Y 1972 *Differentiable Manifolds* (New York: Dekker) p 181
- [12] Barut A O and Raczka R 1986 *Theory of Group Representations and Applications* (Singapore: World Scientific) p 503
- [13] Dirac P. A. M. 1958 *The Principle of Quantum Mechanics* (New York: Oxford University Press)
- [14] Satake I 1975 *Linear Algebra* (New York: Dekker) p 184
- [15] Vilenkin N Ja 1963 *Special Functions and Theory of Group Representations* (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society)
- [16] Boerner H 1970 *Representations of Groups* (Amsterdam: North-Holland) p 107
- [17] Gel'fand I M and Graev M I 1956 *American Mathematical Society Translations series 2, vol 2* (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society) p 147
- [18] Chevalley C 1970 *Theory of Lie Groups* (Princeton: Princeton University Press) p 14
- [19] Munkres J R 1975 *Topology* (Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall) p 345
- [20] Munkres J R 1975 *Topology* (Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall) p 134
- [21] Guillemin V and Pollack A 1974 *Differential Topology* (Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall) p 21
- [22] Sugiura M 1975 *Unitary Representations and Harmonic Analysis* (Tokyo: Kodansha) p 63
- [23] Munkres J R 1975 *Topology* (Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall) p 331
- [24] Pontrjagin L 1946 *Topological Groups* (Princeton: Princeton University Press) p 232
- [25] Barut A O and Raczka R 1986 *Theory of Group Representations and Applications* (Singapore: World Scientific) p 130
- [26] Barut A O and Raczka R 1986 *Theory of Group Representations and Applications* (Singapore: World Scientific) p 510
- [27] Matsushima Y 1972 *Differentiable Manifolds* (New York: Dekker) p 273
- [28] Berberian S K 1965 *Measure and Integration* (Bronx: Chelsea) p 181
- [29] Barut A O and Raczka R 1986 *Theory of Group Representations and Applications* (Singapore: World Scientific) p 70
- [30] Erdélyi A (ed) 1953 *Higher Transcendental Functions* vol 2 (New York: McGraw-Hill) p 188
- [31] Erdélyi A (ed) 1953 *Higher Transcendental Functions* vol 2 (New York: McGraw-Hill) p 193
- [32] Ogura H Unpublished
- [33] Chacón E, Moshinsky M and Sharp R T 1976 *J. Math. Phys.* **17** 668
- [34] Chacón E and Moshinsky M 1977 *J. Math. Phys.* **18** 870
- [35] Gheorghe A, Raduta A A and Ceausescu V 1978 *Nucl. Phys. A* **296** 228
- [36] Ogura H 1973 *Nucl. Phys. A* **207** 161